

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,995	07/30/2003	Venkatesh Chitta	LUTR-0201/03-063 P2 7727 EXAMINER	
23377	7590 06/02/2005			
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR 1650 MARKET STREET			VU, DAVID HUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELP	IA, PA 19103		2828	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/630,995	CHITTA, VENKATESH			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Vu	2828			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address			
A SHO THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state apply received by the Office later than three months after the mad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		. 1				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _3	805				
2a) <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
	,_					
	·	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11	, 455 O.G. 215.			
Disposition—	on of Claims	•				
	Claim(s) <u>2,7-9,13,15,19,21,25,27,31,33-35 and 38-78</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,7-9,25,27,31,33-</u>	35,38-44 and 63-78 is/are withd	rawn from consideration.			
-	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>13,19,45,52-54,61 and 62</u> is/are re Claim(s) <u>15,21,46-51 and 55-60</u> is/are objec					
	Claim(s) <u>r5,21,40-57 and 55-56</u> israte object Claim(s) are subject to restriction and					
	•					
_	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Exami					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the correction is objected to by the					
		Examiner. Note the attached On	nce Action of form F 10-132.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
,	All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure 		eived in this National Stage			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a li		eived			
Attachment	• •	 □	(PTO 440)			
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Linterview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma				
3) 🔀 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date 12/08/04.		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and To		اره المارة ا	·			

Application/Control Number: 10/630,995 Page 2

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 45-62, 13,15,19, and 21 in the reply filed on 03/08/2005 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 38, 72, 63 and the claims dependent thereon are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected specie, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 03/08/2005.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 45 and 54 are objected to because of the following informalities: should "...in accordance with said clamp signal, one of..." properly be ---...in accordance with said clamp signal, performing one of...--? Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 13, 19, 45, 52-54, 61-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Birk et al.

Art Unit: 2828

Birk et al disclose the claimed invention including compact gas discharge lamp KL; a comparator circuit including comparator K1 for comparing lamp voltage U1 with threshold U2; clamp circuit including AND gate A for providing a clamp signal indicate a result of the comparison and voltage U4; and control circuit including switches S3 and S1-S2 for receiving clamp signal U5 and in accordance with the clamp signal the lamp light output level can be varied, i.e., reduced or increased, see, for example, figures 1-2, column 3.

Regarding claims 52-53 and 61-62, column 3, lines 55+ and column 4, lines 1-6 disclose minimum lamp arc current can be reduced and by controlling current IB and lamp current IL lamp light output level is controlled.

Note that the recitation "...for stably dimming a lamp...without observable flicker" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 15, 21, 46-51 and 55-60 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1831. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-430pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David ∀u

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2828